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#### Abstract

The titanium(IV)-catalyzed reaction of various 2 H -chromenes and 2 -alkoxy-1,4-benzoquinones stereoselectively yields the oxygenated pterocarpans 8 and/or the $2+2$ adducts 7 , depending upon reaction conditions. Cyclobutanes 7 rearrange to 8 upon treatment with protic acids. Syntheses of the pterocarpan phytoalexins ( $\pm$ )-homopterocarpin (2), ( $\pm$ )-pterocarpin (3), and ( $\pm$ )-9-O-benzyl-3-O-methylsophoropterocarpan A (4) are presented, which demonstrates the synthetic utility of these formal cycloaddition reactions.


## Introduction

Phytoalexins are antimicrobial compounds produced by plants in response to a stress. ${ }^{1}$ The stress can be in a variety of forms such as attack by fungi or bacteria or by the application of an abiotic elicitor such as heavy metal salts or irradiation. It has been suggested that phytoalexins are crucial components in plant disease resistance and that they may be valuable in the development of new approaches to crop protection and perhaps in pharmaceutical applications as well. ${ }^{2}$

A large class of isoflavonoid phtoalexins possess a substituted pterocarpan ring system 1 . The structural requirements for biological activity and the mode of action of the pterocarpan phytoalexins have not been comprehensively studied, although oxygen-containing substituents at $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ appear to be necessary for potent activity, and the presence of prenyl substituents may also be important. ${ }^{2,3}$ For these reasons, methods for the synthesis of pterocarpans have received considerable interest recently. ${ }^{4}$ We have developed an efficient synthesis of the structurally similar 2-aryl-2,3-dihydrobenzofurans via Lewis acid catalyzed reactions of styrenes with 1,4 benzoquinones. ${ }^{5}$ We now report the details of the extension of this method to the regioselective preparation of substituted pterocarpan frameworks ${ }^{6}$ including ( $\pm$ )homopterocarpin (2), ( $\pm$ )-pterocarpin (3), and ( $\pm$ )-9- $O$ -benzyl-3-O-methylsophoropterocarpan A (4). In addition, the method provides intermediates that should be useful in the synthesis of a number of other pterocarpan phytoalexins.


1. $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$

2, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
3, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{R}_{3}=-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
4, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Pn}$
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## Results and Discussion

Bicyclo[4.2.0]octenediones 7 and/or pterocarpans 8 are produced by $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$-catalyzed reactions of $2 H$-chromenes 5 and 2-alkoxy-1,4-benzoquinones 6 (Scheme I and Table I). The Ti (IV) employed was in the form of a premixed combination of titanium(IV) chloride and titanium(IV) isopropoxide, and the ratio of the products formed, $7: 8$, is dependent upon the number of equivalents of $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$, the ratio of $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ to $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$, and the reaction temperature. Thus, at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 1-2 equiv of $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ as catalyst, formal $2+2$ adducts 7 are formed exclusively in most cases. However, upon warming of the reaction mixture and/or utilization of catalyst systems with $>2$ equiv of $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ and enriched in $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$, the formal $3+2$ adducts 8 are the major, if not the exclusive, products found. Attempts to obtain cycloaddition products from 5 and 6 with stannic chloride
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## Scheme I



Table I. Titanium(IV)-Catalyzed Reactions of $2 \boldsymbol{H}$-Chromenes and 2-Alkoxy-1,4-benzoquinones ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| chromene | quinone | $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4} ; \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$ (equiv of $\left.\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}\right)^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | temp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | time, h | yields, \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 8 |
| 5 a | 6 a | 1:1 (1.0) | -78 | 0.5 | 85 | $-^{-c}$ |
| 5a | $6 \mathbf{6}$ | 2:1 (3.0) | $-78 \rightarrow-30$ | 2.5 | - | 46 |
| 5a | 6b | 3:1 (1.0) | -78 | 9 | 40 |  |
| 5 a | 6b | 2:1 (3.0) | -78 | 2.5 | - | 67 |
| 5b | 6a | 3:1 (2.0) | -78 | 3 | 71 | - |
| 5 b | 6 a | 2:1 (3.0) | $-78 \rightarrow-20$ | 5 | - | 47 |
| 5 c | 6a | 1:1 (2.0) | -78 | 10 | 80 | 10 |
| 5 c | 6a | 1:1 (2.0) | $-78 \rightarrow-30$ | 5 | - | 58 |
| 5 c | 6 b | 1:1 (2.0) | $-78 \rightarrow-25$ | 10 | - | 90 |
| 5c | 6 c | 1:1 (2.0) | $-78 \rightarrow-25$ | 5 | - | 94 |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions were conducted in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. ${ }^{b}$ Equivalents with respect to quinone. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The dash indicates that none of this product was isolated.

Table II. Acid-Catalyzed Rearrangement of 7 to $\mathbf{8}^{\mathbf{a}}$

| cyclobutane | acid catalyst | yield, $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 a}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 70 |
| $\mathbf{7 b}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 65 |
| $\mathbf{7 c}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 47 |
| $\mathbf{7 d}$ | $p-\mathrm{TsOH}_{50}$ | 80 |

${ }^{a}$ all reactions were carried out in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.
or ethylaluminum dichloride as catalysts were not successful. ${ }^{7}$ Cyclobutanes 7 are converted to pterocarpans 8 upon treatment with protic acids at room temperature (Table II), presumably via intermediate 9 (Scheme I).

Both 7 and 8 are produced regio- and stereoselectively. The stereochemistry of the dihydrobenzofurans 8 is established by their conversion to known compounds (vide infra) and by comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data with literature data which have been tabulated, and the assignments have been verified for a number of pterocarpans. ${ }^{8}$ Further support for the assignment of a cis ring
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Figure 1. Summary of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE experiments on $8 a$ and 8 e.
juncture in 8 is provided by $J_{H 6 a-11 a} \cong 6-7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE experiments in which irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}$ in 8 a resulted in an $11 \%$ enhancement of the $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}$ signal. In addition, irradiation of the $\mathrm{C}_{7}$-methyl substituent in $8 \mathbf{f}$ gave enhancements of the $\mathrm{H}_{6 \alpha}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \beta}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}$ signals of $3.8 \%, 7.2 \%$, and $3.8 \%$, respectively. A summary of the NOE data appear in Figure 1.
Scheme II

Scheme III ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (1) $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature, $95 \%$; (2) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.21 equiv), $1,1^{\prime}$-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene ( 0.51 equiv), DMF, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 93 \%$.
The regiochemistry of cyclobutanes 7 is determined by chemical transformation to dihydrobenzofurans 8, and the stereochemical assignments are made from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data. At 300 MHz , the methine and methylene hydrogens in 7 are observed as five distinct doublets of doublets and one multiplet. Specific resonances are assigned from selective ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ decoupling and by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE experiments, which are summarized in Figure 2. Thus, in $7 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \alpha}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{6 \beta}$ are coupled only to each other and $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}$ is coupled to $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}$. A very small coupling ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) between $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}$ is also observed in 7a, 7c, and 7d. It was not possible to distinguish between $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}$ by decoupling experiments; however, they were assigned from NOE data. Irradiation of $\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}$ gave a $15 \%$ enhancement of the dd at 3.53 ppm , which is $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}$, and irradiation of the 3.70 ppm dd gave a $9 \%$ enhancement of $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}$. Therefore the signal


| Signal Iradiated |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Observed Enhancement |  |
| $H_{6 \beta}(3.90 \mathrm{ppm})$ | $H_{6 \alpha}(20 \%) ; \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}(3.09 \mathrm{ppm}, 1 \%)$ |
| $H_{6 a}(4.24 \mathrm{ppm})$ | $H_{6 b}(3.56 \mathrm{ppm}, 7 \%) ; \mathrm{H}_{6 \beta}(20 \%)$ |
| $H_{10 a}(3.14 \mathrm{ppm})$ | $H_{60}(5 \%)$ |
| $H_{100}(3.70 \mathrm{ppm})$ | $H_{6 a}(9 \%)$ |



| Signal Iradiated | Observed_Enhancement |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{10 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}(0.83 \mathrm{ppm})$ | $\mathrm{H}_{60}$ (3.24 ppm, 22.4\%); $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ (6.9\%) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}(2.98 \mathrm{ppm})$ | $H_{6 \beta}(5.7 \%) ; \mathrm{H}_{100}(3.65-3.76 \mathrm{ppm}, 12 \%)$ |
| $H_{G C}(4.20 \mathrm{ppm})$ | $\mathrm{H}_{68}(3.65-3.76 \mathrm{ppm}, 21 \%) ; \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}(5.8 \%)$ |

Figure 2. Summary of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE experiments on 7 a and 7b.
complex 10 with a highly electrophilic center at $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ (Scheme II). Alkylation of the complex by the chromene produces 11 , which then collapses via path a to give 8 or via path $b$ to give 7. ${ }^{9}$ For reasons that are not yet clear, path $b$ is at 3.70 ppm is assigned as $\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}$. Results of other NOE experiments are summarized in Figure 2, and the cis-anti-cis stereochemistry of the ring fusions in 7 is evident from these experiments.

The formation of 7 and 8 is rationalized as follows. Coordination of the $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ with the quinone produces

Scheme IV ${ }^{\text {a }}$
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## apparently favored kinetically.

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of the methodology presently described, syntheses of 2-4 were carried out (Schemes III and IV). Thus, conversion of dihydrobenzofuran 8 d to triflate 12 followed by a palladium-catalyzed triethylammonium formate reduction ${ }^{10}$ gave ( $\pm$ )homopterocarpin (2) in $88 \%$ overall yield from 8d. Similar methodology was utilized to convert phenol $8 f$ to triflate 13, which gave ( $\pm$ )-9-O-benzyl-3-O-methylsophoropterocarpan A (4) upon palladium-catalyzed coupling ${ }^{11}$ with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$. It is notable that the carboncarbon double bond of the prenyl unit did not migrate into conjugation in the latter reaction.

Finally, the benzyl moiety in dihydrobenzofuran $8 f$ was selectively removed via catalytic hydrogenation or upon treatment with $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /dimethyl sulfide, ${ }^{12}$ to give the chromatographically unstable catechol 14 , which was not purified but converted directly to ( $\pm$ )-pterocarpin (3) by the method of Tomita and Aoyagi. ${ }^{13}$ A $46 \%$ overall yield of 3 was found, accompanied by small amounts ( $6 \%$ ) of anhydropisatin (15). ${ }^{14}$

## Summary and Conclusions

The titanium(IV)-catalyzed reaction of 2-alkoxy-1,4benzoquinones and 2 H -chromenes represents a direct route to the pterocarpan ring system. Notable features of the route are that (1) the pterocarpans produced incorporate oxygen substituents at the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ positions; (2) the $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ phenol moiety of the pterocarpans formed can be reductively removed or, more importantly, can be used to introduce a $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ prenyl unit; and (3) with proper choice of alkoxy groups on the starting chromene and quinone, the pterocarpans produced possess differentiated oxygen substituents at $\mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{8}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ which can be selectively manipulated. The method should be applicable to the preparation of a wide variety of naturally occurring antimicrobial pterocarpan phytoalexins and analogues.

## Experimental Section

Solvents and Reagents. 2 H -Chromene (5a), ${ }^{15 \mathrm{a}}{ }^{2,2-\mathrm{di} \text { - }}$
(9) The formation of the cis-anti-cis ring fusions in 7 suggests that intermediate 11 is formed diastereoselectively. This selectivity may result from a mechanism in which complex 10 reacts with chromene 5 via a symmetry-allowed $5+2(4 \pi+2 \pi)$ cycloaddition to give i initially, which then proceeds to $11 .{ }^{5}$ The preference for the aryl unit of the chromene to occupy an endo position with respect to the pentadienyl cation moiety in 10 has been observed in related reactions; see: Engler, T. A.; Combrink, K. D.; Takusagawa, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., in press.

(10) (a) Peterson, G. A.; Kunng, F.-A.; McCallum, J. S.; Wulff, W. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 1381. (b) Cacchi, S.; Ciattini, P. G.; Morera, E.; Ortar, G. Ibid. 1986, 27, 5541. (c) Chen, Q.-Y.; He, Y.-B.; Yang, Z.-Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1452.
(11) Echavarren, A. M.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5478. See also ref 10a.
(12) Fuji, K.; Kawabata, T.; Fujita, E. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1980, 28, 3662.
(13) Tomita, M.; Aoyagi, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1968, 16, 523.
(14) (a) Ozaki, Y.; Mochida, K.; Kim, S.-W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 374. (b) Komatsu, M.; Yokoe, I.; Shirataki, Y. Yakugaku Zasshi 1976, 96, 254. (c) Farkas, L.; Antus, S.; Nōgrádi, M. Acta Chim. Acad. Sci. Hung. 1974, 82, 225.
(15) (a) Schweizer, E. E.; Liehr, J.; Monaco, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 2416. A more effective procedure for the preparation of the $2 H$. chromenes on a large scale was via dehydration of the $2 H$-chroman- 4 -ols, which were formed by reduction of the corresponding 4-chromanones; see, for example: (b) Canalini, G.; Degani, I.; Fochi, R.; Spunta, G. Ann. Chim. (Rome) 1967, 57, 1045. See also ref 8 e .
methyl-2H-chromene (5b), ${ }^{16} 7$-methoxy- $2 H$-chromene (5c), ${ }^{15}$ and (benzyloxy)-1,4-benzoquinone ( 6 c$)^{17}$ were prepared via literature procedures. 2-Methoxy-1,4-benzoquinone (6a) and 2-methoxy6 -methyl-1,4-benzoquinone ( 6 b ) were prepared via Fremy's salt oxidation ${ }^{18}$ of 2-methoxyphenol and 2-methoxy-6-methylphenol. ${ }^{19}$ Dichloromethane, diiodomethane, titanium(IV) chloride, triethylamine, boron trifluoride etherate, and dimethyl sulfide were distilled from calcium hydride under an $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride was distilled from phosphorus pentoxide and stored under nitrogen. Pyridine was distilled from potassium hydroxide and stored under argon. $N, N$-Dimethylformamide (DMF) was dried over barium oxide, distilled from potassium hydroxide, and stored over $4-\AA$ molecular sieves. Titanium(IV) isopropoxide, 1,1-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (DPPF), anhydrous $N, N$-dimethylformamide, and formic acid were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. Bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride was prepared via a literature procedure. ${ }^{25}$ Hexanes and acetone were fractionally distilled, and ethyl acetate was distilled from anhydrous potassium carbonate. All reactions were done in flameor oven-dried glassware and were magnetically stirred under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen or argon. All compounds were prepared as racemic mixtures.

Chromatography. Separations were carried out either by flash chromatography using MN-Kieselgel 60 silica gel ( $0.04-0.063-\mathrm{mm}$ mesh size, VWR Scientific) or by radial chromatography on a Chromatotron (Harris Research 7924T) using Kieselgel 60 PF254 silica gel (VWR Scientific). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was done on precoated silica gel plates, which were developed in the indicated solvent systems. Compound visualization on TLC was done by UV irradiation and either $p$-anisaldehyde stain or phosphomolybdic acid stain.

Instrumentation. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR) spectra were recorded on Bruker AM-500 Aspect 3000 ( 500 MHz ), Varian XL-300 ( 300 MHz ), and Varian FT-80A ( 80 MHz ) spectrometers. Carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{(36} \mathrm{C} N \mathrm{NM}$ ) spectra were obtained at 75 MHz on the Varian XL-300 spectrometer or at 125 MHz on the Bruker AM- 500 spectrometer. All NMR samples were dissolved in deuteriochloroform unless otherwise specified, and chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) downfield from internal tetramethylsilane. Abbreviations for NMR multiplicities are as follows: s, singlet; $d$, doublet; $t$, triplet; $m$, multiplet; dd, doublet of doublets; $d t$, doublet of triplets. The coupling constants are abbreviated as $J$ and are in hertz. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on a VG Instruments ZAB double-focusing mass spectrometer. Melting points were obtained on a Mel-Temp apparatus and are uncorrected.

Cycloaddition of $\mathbf{2 H}$-Chromenes 5a-c to 2-Alkoxy-1,4benzoquinones 6a-c. General Procedure. Method A. Formation of $7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$. With minor variations, the following general procedure was followed; detailed procedures for each experiment are included in the supplementary material. Titanium(IV) chloride and titanium(IV) isopropoxide (see Table I for ratios and equivalents) were combined in dichloromethane ( $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at $0-5$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the solution was stirred for $5-45 \mathrm{~min}$ and then cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of the quinone in dichloromethane (1-6
(16) Hlubucek, J.; Ritchie, E.; Taylor, W. C. Aust. J. Chem. 1971, 24, 2347.
(17) Ishii, H.; Ohtake, R.; Ohida, H.; Mitsui, H.; Ikeda, N. Yakugaku Zasshi 1970, 90, 1283.
(18) Zimmer, H.; Lankin, D. C.; Horgan, S. W. Chem. Rev. 1971, 71, 229.
(19) Gras, J.-L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 4117.
(20) (a) Suginome, H.; Iwadare, T. Experientia 1962, 18, 163. (b) Aghoramurthy, K.; Kukla, A. S.; Seshadri, T. R. Curr. Sci. 1961, 30, 218. (c) Ozaki, Y.; Mochida, K.; Kim, S.-W. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1987, 35, 1790. (d) Krishna Prasad, A. V.; Kapil, R. S.; Popli, S. P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1986, 1561.
(21) Seyferth, D.; Weiner, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 4797.
(22) Naruta, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3774.
(23) Hoffmann, R. W.; Feussner, G.; Zeiss, H.-J.; Schulz, S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 187, 321.
(24) (a) Suginome, H. Experientia 1962, 18, 161. (b) Fukui, K.; Nakayama, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1969, 42, 1408.
(25) Tayim, H. A.; Bouldoukian, A.; Awad, F. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1970, 32, 3799 .
mL ) was added, followed, after $5-15 \mathrm{~min}$, by a solution of the 2 H -chromene in dichloromethane ( $1-6 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The reaction mixtures were maintained at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the reactions were complete by TLC analysis (see Table I for the reaction times), the reaction mixtures were quenched either by the addition of isopropyl alcohol ( $4-5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and solid sodium bicarbonate ( 1 g ) followed by pouring into saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate (for $\mathbf{7 b - d}$ ) or by direct pouring of the reaction mixture into saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate (for 7a). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic extracts were combined, washed with water and brine, dried over sodium sulfate or magnesium sulfate, and concentrated.

Data for 7a. $2 H$-Chromene $5 a(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and quinone $6 \mathrm{a}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave a crude orange residue, which was chromatographed on flash silica gel with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent, to give $7 \mathrm{a}\left(328 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%\right.$ ), mp $134.5-136^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (isopropyl alcohol), as white needles: $R_{f}(50 \%$ ethyl acetate/ hexanes) 0.19 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $3.09\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{m}, J=3,8,10)\right]$, $3.14\left[\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1\left(\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 4,9\right)\right], 3.56\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,9)\right]$, $3.70\left[\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}(\mathrm{dd}, J=4,10)\right], 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \beta}(\mathrm{dd}, J=3,12)\right]$, $4.24\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 a}(\mathrm{dd}, J=3,12)\right], 6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.3$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) 37.2, 40.0, 42.8, 49.8, 56.4, 66.9, 114.2, 118.0, 122.6, 125.2, 128.2, 129.4, 155.3, 162.6, 192.4, 197.4; HRMS $m / z 270.0888$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4} 270.0892$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 71.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.22$. Found: C, 71.05; $\mathrm{H}, 5.50$.

Data for 7 b . $2 H$-Chromene $5 \mathrm{a}(105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ and quinone $6 \mathrm{~b}(104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave a residue, which was purified by radial chromatography using $50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as eluent, to give 7 bb ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. Recrystallization from ethyl acetate/hexanes gave pale yellow needles, mp 127-128 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: R_{f}\left(50 \%\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) 0.32 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) 0.83 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})\right], 3.24\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5)\right], 3.65-3.76\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \beta}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}$ (overlapping dd and d, $J=2.7,12$ for $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.6$ for $1 \mathrm{H})$ ], 3.86 (s, 3 H ), 4.20 [ $\mathrm{H}_{6 \alpha}(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2,12$ )], $6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00$ ( 2 overlapping $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.13 (d with higher order coupling, $J=$ $7.7,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.21(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.4,7.7,1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{18} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) 197.7 , 197.3, 161.9, 155.9, 131.1, 128.2, 121.9, 121.2, 117.9, 113.4, 65.7, 56.4, 50.1, 50.0, 39.6, 38.6, 21.6; HRMS $m / z 284.1050$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 284.1049. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 71.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.67$. Found: C, 71.51 ; H, 5.60 .

Data for $7 \mathbf{c}$. $2 H$-Chromene $5 \mathbf{b}(0.392 \mathrm{~g}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ and quinone $6 \mathrm{a}(0.305 \mathrm{~g}, 2.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave a black solid, which was purified by radial chromatography with stepwise elution with $20 \%$, $40 \%$, and then $50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as eluent, to afford $7 \mathrm{c}(0.464 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%)$ as a pale brown solid. Recrystallization from ethyl acetate/hexanes gave an analytically pure sample as colorless needles, mp $155-156^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: R_{f}\left(50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes) $0.35 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{t}, J=9,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=9,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.61(\mathrm{t}, J=9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=9,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}$ ) , $7.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ) 197.0 , 193.2, 162.2, 152.6, 129.3, 128.1, 123.7, 121.9, 118.5, 114.1, 73.8, 56.3, 49.1, 48.6, 42.9, 36.9, 25.2, 23.1; HRMS $m / z 298.1200$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 298.1205. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 72.47 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.08. Found: C, 72.35; H, 6.21.

Data for 7 d . $2 H$-Chromene $5 \mathrm{c}(93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and quinone 6 ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave a residue, which was purified by flash chromatography with $30 \%$ and then $50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as eluent, to give pterocarpan $8 \mathrm{~d}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$, identified as indicated below) and cyclobutane 7 d ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as pale yellow needles, $\mathrm{mp} 169-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc/hexanes): $R_{f}(50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) $0.14 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $3.02-3.14\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}_{10 a}(\mathrm{~m})$ ], $3.52\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right.$ ( t with higher order coupling, $J=7.8$ )], $3.64\left[\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right.$ (dd, $J=3.9,9.4)], 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 a}(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5\right.$, 12)], $4.23\left[\mathrm{H}_{68}(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,12)\right], 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9$, 1 H ), 6.59 (dd, $J=1.9,8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.09 (d, $J=8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $197.5,192.4,162.6,159.7,156.2,130.0,117.2,114.1,109.4$, 102.9, 66.9, 56.4, 55.3, 50.2, 42.8, 39.9, 36.8; HRMS $m / z 300.1005$, caled for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 300.0997. Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 67.99 ; H, 5.37. Found: C, 67.83; H, 5.30.

Method B. Formation of $8 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{f}$. The same procedure was followed as in method A with the exception that, after the addition of the 2 H -chromene, the reaction mixtures were allowed to warm to the temperatures shown in Table I over the time period indicated. Detailed experimental procedures for each experiment are included in the supplementary material.

Data for 8a. $2 H$-Chromene 5 a ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and quinone 6 a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) produced a purple film, which was purified by flash chromatography with $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/ hexanes as eluent, to give pterocarpan 8 a ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ), which was recrystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /hexanes as a colorless solid, mp $120.7-121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: R_{f}$ ( $50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes) $0.53 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}) 3.5-3.6\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{m})\right], 3.64\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \alpha}(\mathrm{dd}, J=11,11)\right], 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.27\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \beta}\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{a}-6 \beta}=4, J_{\mathrm{H} 6-6 \beta}=11\right)\right], 5.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50\left[\mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{HBa}-11 \mathrm{a}}=6\right)\right], 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7,1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.05 (ddd, $J=1,7,7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ (dd, $J=1,8$, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $40.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 56.2,66.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 78.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $94.7,110.4,117.4,117.9,120.3,121.6,130.0,131.0,140.0,146.9$, 152.8, 155.5; HRMS $m / z 270.0893$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4} 270.0891$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 71.10; H, 5.22. Found: C, 71.40; H, 5.21.

Data for 8b. $2 H$-Chromene 5 a ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and quinone $6 \mathrm{~b}(103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave a brown oil, which upon radial chromatography with $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as eluent gave 8 b ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid. A colorless analytical sample was obtained by recrystallization from ethyl acetate/ hexanes, mp 156-158 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: R_{f}\left(50 \%\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) 0.65 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6 a}(\mathrm{~m})\right], 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.31$ $\left[\mathrm{H}_{68}(\mathrm{~m})\right], 5.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40\left[\mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{d}, J=6.1)\right], 6.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (d, $J=8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) 155.3, $151.8,146.4,138.0,131.0$, $130.0,121.5,120.4,120.0,117.7,117.3,92.3,77.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{112}\right), 65.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$, 56.2, $39.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{68}\right), 12.3$; HRMS $m / z 284.1050$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 284.1049. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 71.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.67$. Found: C, 71.81; H, 5.68.
Data for 8c. $2 H$-Chromene 5 b ( $0.127 \mathrm{~g}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and quinone $6 \mathrm{a}(0.102 \mathrm{~g}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave, upon flash chromatography of the residue with $15 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as eluent, pterocarpan 8 c ( $0.104 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $R_{t}(50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) $0.66 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) $0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.34\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{d}, J=7.8)\right], 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47\left[\mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{d}\right.$, $J=7.8)], 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00$ (dt, $J=1.2,7.5,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.26 ( $\mathrm{dt}, J=1.8,8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ) 153.6, 153.1, $146.8,139.8$, 129.9, 129.8 , 121.2, 120.4, 119.0, 118.0, 110.9, 94.3, 78.6, 76.5, 56.1, 49.6, 27.5, 20.0; HRMS $m / z 298.1202$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4} 298.1205$.

Data for 8 d .2 H -Chromene $5 \mathrm{c}(61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and quinone $6 \mathrm{a}(48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) yielded a residue, which was purified by flash column chromatography using $25 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane as eluent, to give 8 d ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ), which crystallized from ethyl acetate/hexanes as colorless needles, mp 136-138 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: R_{f}(50 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes) $0.41 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}^{8 d}(300 \mathrm{MHz}) 3.47-3.56\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{m})\right]$, $3.64\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \alpha}(\mathrm{t}, J=10.9)\right], 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \beta}(\mathrm{dd}\right.$, $J=10.9,4.7)], 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47\left[\mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{d}, J=6.3)\right], 6.46-6.49$ [ $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ (overlapping s and d)], 6.64 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9,2.8$ ), 6.84 (s, 1 H ), $7.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) $161.0,156.6$, $152.8,146.9,139.8,131.7,117.9,112.5,110.3,109.1,101.6,94.7$, $78.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right), 66.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 56.2,55.3,40.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right) ;$ HRMS $m / z 300.0999$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5} 300.0997$.

Data for 8 e. $2 H$-Chromene $5 \mathrm{c}(0.127 \mathrm{~g}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ and quinone $6 \mathrm{~b}(0.102 \mathrm{~g}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave a brown film, which was purified by radial chromatography using $20 \%$ ethyl acetate/ hexanes as eluent, to give $8 \mathrm{e}(0.191 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a white solid, which was recrystallized from ethyl acetate/hexanes, to give colorless needles, $\mathrm{mp} 151-152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: R_{f}\left(50 \%\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) 0.55 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}_{6 \alpha}(2$ overlapping m)], $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30\left[\mathrm{H}_{68}(\mathrm{~m})\right], 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37\left[\mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ (d, $J=5.5$ )], $6.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64$ (dd, $J=$ $2.5,8.6,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.42 (d, $J=8.6,1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) 161.0 , 156.5, 151.9, 146.4, 138.0, 131.8, 120.4, 117.8, 112.3, 109.0, 101.6, $92.3,77.8,65.6,56.2,55.4,39.2,12.3$; HRMS $m / z 314.1152$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 314.1154. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 68.78 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.77. Found: C, 68.86; H, 5.76.

Data for 8 f. $2 H$-Chromene $5 \mathrm{c}(52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ and quinone $6 \mathrm{c}(54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave a brown oil, which upon flash column chromatography using $18 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent yielded $8 \mathrm{f}\left(89 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%\right.$ ) as an amorphous solid, $\mathrm{mp} 124-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ether): $R_{f}\left(20 \%\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) 0.19 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $3.45-3.53\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{m})\right], 3.63\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \alpha}(\mathrm{t}, J=11)\right], 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.24\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \beta}\right.$ (dd, $J=11,4.9)], 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.44\left[\mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{d}, J=\right.$ $7.0)], 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.6), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5$, 2.6 ), 6.85 (s, 1 H ), $7.30-7.55$ (m, 6 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ) 161.0,
$156.6,152.7,146.0,140.1,136.1,131.7,128.7,128.4,127.7,118.5$ ， $112.4,110.5,109.1,101.6,96.1,78.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right), 71.4,66.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 55.3$ ， 40.2 （ $\mathrm{C}_{64}$ ）；HRMS $m / z 376.1310$ ，calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5} 376.1309$ ．

Acid－Catalyzed Rearrangement of 7 to 8．General Pro－ cedure．Concentrated sulfuric acid（1－2 drops from a Pasteur pipet）or $p$－toluenesulfonic acid（small amounts by spatula）was added to a dichloromethane solution of the cyclobutane 7 at room temperature．When starting 7 was no longer apparent by TLC analysis of the reaction mixture（ 2 min to 1 h ），the mixture was poured into saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate．Extraction of the mixture with dichloromethane followed by drying of the extracts over sodium sulfate，filtration，and concentration gave a crude product，which was purified by flash or radial chroma－ tography，to give pterocarpan 8 ，identified as previously described．

3，9－Dimethoxy－6a，11a－cis－dihydro－6H－benzofuro［3，2－c］－ ［1］benzopyran－8－ol Trifluoromethanesulfonate（12）．To a solution of phenol $8 \mathbf{d}(0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.226 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane （ 3 mL ）at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added pyridine（ $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.742 \mathrm{mmol}$ ） followed，after 30 min ，by trifluoromethanesulfonic anhyhdride （ $0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.297 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）．The mixture was stirred for 1 h at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ，warmed to room temperature，and poured into water（ 10 mL ）． The layers were separated，and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ ．The combined dichloro－ methane solutions were washed with cold $10 \%$ aqueous sodium hydroxide（ 3 mL ），cold $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid（ 3 mL ），and water （ 3 mL ），dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate，filtered，and con－ centrated under reduced pressure，to give a brown oil．Radial chromatography using $15 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane as eluent gave $12(0.093 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$ as a colorless oil：$R_{f}(50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $) 0.65$ ； ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR（ 500 MHz ）， $3.56-3.61$（m， 1 H ）， 3.67 （ $\mathrm{t}, J=11,1 \mathrm{H}$ ）， $3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.24$（dd，$J=5,11,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5$ ， $8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR（ 125 MHz ） $161.2,160.0,156.6,152.6,132.5,131.7,118.7$（ $\mathrm{q}, J=320 \mathrm{~Hz}, C F_{3}$ ）， $118.4,111.7,109.4,101.6,96.0,79.3,66.1,56.3,55.3,39.7$（one aromatic quaternary signal is buried）；HRMS $m / z 432.0494$ ，calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SF}_{3} 432.0489$ ．

Conversion of Phenol $8 f$ to Triflate 13．In a manner similar to the preparation of 12 ，phenol $8 f(0.105 \mathrm{~g}, 0.279 \mathrm{mmol})$ was converted to 13 by using pyridine（ $0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）and trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride（ $0.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ），to give， after radial chromatography with $20 \%$ ethyl acetate／hexanes as eluent，the product $(85 \%, 0.121 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white solid， $\mathrm{mp} 142-144$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{dec}\left(\mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ pentanes）：$R_{f}\left(50 \%\right.$ EtOAc／hexanes） $0.69 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR（ 500 MHz ） $3.56-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=11,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25$（dd，$J=4.9,11,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9$ ， $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,8.5$ ， $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR（ 125 MHz ） 161.2 ， $159.6,156.6,151.6,135.4,132.7,131.7,128.6,128.2,127.2,118.8$ ， $118.7\left(\mathrm{q}, J=321 \mathrm{~Hz}, C \mathrm{~F}_{3}\right), 118.4,111.6,109.4,101.7,97.3,79.3$ ， $71.2,66.1,55.4,39.7$ ；HRMS $m / z 508.0813$ ，calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SF}_{3}$ 508.0804 ．
（土）－3，9－Dimethoxy－6a，11a－cis－dihydro－6H－benzofuro［3，2－ c ］［1］benzopyran（2）．Triflate $12(84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dis－ solved in $N, N$－dimethylformamide（ 1 mL ）under argon at room temperature，and the trimer of palladium（II）acetate（ $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04$ mmol）was added followed by $1,1^{\prime}$－bis（diphenylphosphino）－ ferrocene（ $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.099 \mathrm{mmol}$ ），triethylamine（ $0.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）， and a $95-97 \%$ aqueous formic acid solution（ $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）． The mixture was heated to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$（oil bath temperature）and stirred for 17 h ．The mixture was cooled，water（ 2 mL ）was added， and the mixture was then poured into ethyl acetate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ ．The layers were separated，the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ ，and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride，saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate，and water（ 15 mL each）．The so－ lution was dried over sodium sulfate，filtered，and concentrated． Filtration chromatography of the resulting brown film using dichloromethane as eluent followed by radial chromatography with $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane as eluent gave $2(51 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%)$ ： $\operatorname{mp} 126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$（ $95 \%$ ethanol）（lit．${ }^{20} \mathrm{mp} 123-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $129-130$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; R_{f}(8 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $) 0.17 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}^{8 \mathrm{~b}}(300 \mathrm{MHz}) 3.49-3.58$ $\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{m})\right], 3.64\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \alpha}(\mathrm{t}, J=11)\right], 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25$ $\left[\mathrm{H}_{6 \beta}(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,11)\right], 5.51\left[\mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{d}, J=6)\right], 6.43-6.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ ， $6.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,8.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ）．
（3－Methyl－2－butenyl）tri－n－butyltin．The title compound was prepared by a method similar to one reported by Seyferth and Weiner．${ }^{21}$ To a suspension of magnesium turnings $(2.43 \mathrm{~g}$ ， 100 mmol ）in dry THF（ 30 mL ）was added 1，2－dibromoethane $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ ，and the mixture was heated to reflux．A solution of 4－bromo－2－methyl－2－butene（ $5.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）and chlorotri－n－ butyltin（ $6.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）in dry THF（ 25 mL ）was added dropwise over 1 h ，during which time heating was continued．After 15 h ，the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and saturated aqueous ammonium chloride added carefully．The resulting mixture was extracted with ether（ $4 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ），and the combined extracts were washed with water（ 100 mL ）and brine （ 100 mL ），dried over sodium sulfate，filtered，and concentrated under reduced pressure．The pale green oil obtained was frac－ tionally distilled，to give the title compound as a colorless oil（ 7.87 $\mathrm{g}, 87 \%$ ），bp $92-96^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$（lit．bp $114-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1 \mathrm{~mm}^{22}$ and $\left.90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.002 \mathrm{~mm}^{23}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}^{22,23}(300 \mathrm{MHz}) 0.7-1.0(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H})$ ， $1.2-1.8(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR（ 75 MHz ） 125.2 ， $122.9,29.3,27.4,25.5,17.3,13.7,10.7,9.4$.
（土）－9－O－Benzyl－3－O－methylsophoropterocarpan（4）．An－ hydrous lithium chloride（ $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）and bis（tri－ phenylphosphine）palladium（II）chloride ${ }^{25}$（ $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.007 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）were dissolved in anhydrous DMF（ 0.5 mL ），and a mixture of triflate 13 （ $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）and（3－methyl－2－butenyl）tri－$n$－butyl－ stannane（ $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）in dry DMF（ 1 mL ）was added via cannula．The resulting homogeneous pale yellow solution was heated to $95-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h ．The reaction mixture was cooled and poured into a two－phase mixture of $10 \%$ aqueous ammonium hydroxide（ 20 mL ）and $1: 1$ ether／benzene（ 20 mL ）．The organic layer was separated，and the aqueous layer was extracted with $1: 1$ ether／benzene $(4 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$ ．The combined organic solutions were washed with water（ $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ）and brine and dried over sodium sulfate．Concentration of the mixture gave a yellow－orange residue，which was purified by column chromatography（ $2 \times$ ）with $10 \%$ ethyl acetate／hexanes as eluent，to give $4(38 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$ as a colorless solid，mp $170-171^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$（EtOAc／hexanes）：$R_{f}(30 \%$ EtOAc／hexanes）0．56；${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR（ 300 MHz ） 1.67 （s， 3 H ）， 1.74 （s， $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{t}, J=11,1$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25$（dd，$J=4.4,11.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31$ （ $t$ with higher order coupling，$J=6.6,1 \mathrm{H}$ ）， 5.46 （d，$J=6.6,1$ $\mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63$（dd，$J=2.3,8.3,1$ $\mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR（ 75 MHz ） 161.0 ， $158.6,157.2,156.6,137.1,132.1,131.8,128.5,127.7,127.1,124.8$ ， $123.0,122.7,118.2,112.5,109.1,101.6,95.5,78.4,70.1,66.7,55.3$ ， $39.9,28.4,25.8,17.8$ ；HRMS $m / z 428.1998$ ，calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 428．1988．Anal．Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 78.48 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.59$ ．Found： C，78．71；H，6．66．

3－Methoxy－6a，11a－cis－dihydro－6H－benzofuro［3，2－c］［1］－ benzopyran－8，9－diol（14）．Method A．From Debenzylation of 8 f with Boron Trifluoride Etherate and Dimethyl Sulfide． To a solution of the benzyl ether 8 f （ $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.319 \mathrm{mmol}$ ）in dichloromethane（ 1.5 mL ）and dimethyl sulfide（ 1.5 mL ）at room temperature was added dropwise boron trifluoride etherate（ 0.23 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ），and the mixture was stirred for 1 h ．Water and ethyl acetate were added，and the aqueous layer was separated and extracted with ethyl acetate（ $4 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ）．The combined ethyl acetate layers were washed with brine（ 30 mL ），dried over an－ hydrous sodium sulfate，filtered，and concentrated to a reddish brown film，which was used without further purification．

Method B．From Hydrogenolysis of 8 f ．To a solution of the benzyl ether $8 \mathrm{f}(0.127 \mathrm{~g}, 0.337 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $95 \%$ ethanol（ 17 mL ） and acetone（ 17 mL ）were added $10 \%$ palladium on carbon（ 0.0230 g）and 8 drops of glacial acetic acid from a Pasteur pipet．This mixture was shaken for 1 h under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ pressure（ 17 psi ）in a Parr hydrogenation apparatus．The mixture was filtered through Celite， dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate，filtered，and concentrated under reduced pressure to a greenish black film，which was used as is in the next experiment：$R_{f}\left(30 \%\right.$ EtOAc／hexanes） $0.16 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR（acetone－$\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 3.47-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{t}, J=10$ ， $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=4,10,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7,1$ $\mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,8.7,1$ $\mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.6^{-7.80}(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ ．
（土）－3－Methoxy－6a，11a－cis－dihydro－6H－［1，3］dioxolo［5，6］－ benzofuro［3，2－c ］［1］benzopyran（3）．${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}, f}$ The crude product from the above debenzylation reactions（assumed quantitative）was dissolved in DMF（ 5 mL ）and placed in a resealable glass tube．

Cupric oxide ( $0.007 \mathrm{~g}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous potassium carbonate ( $0.094 \mathrm{~g}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The tube was flushed with argon, and diiodomethane ( $82 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The tube was sealed and heated to $80-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (oil bath temperature) for 24 h . After the mixture had been cooled to room temperature, aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}\left(4 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ of 1 N HCl in 20 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added and the solution extracted with ethyl acetate ( $5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were washed with water ( $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure, to give a brown solid. Radial chromatography with $10 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes as eluent yielded ( $\pm$ )-pterocarpin (3) ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ), anhydropisatin ( $\mathbf{1 5}, 1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%$ ), and a mixture of 3 and 15 ( $14.6 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ and $4 \%$, respectively, by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR). Flash column chromatography using silver nitrate impregnated silica gel (prepared by swirling $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ in $4 \% \mathrm{AgNO}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and then evaporating the solvent under reduced pressure) gave pure ( $\pm$ )-pterocarpin (3) and anhydropisatin (15). Recrystallization of 3 from ethyl acetate/hexanes gave cylindrical colorless crystals: $\mathrm{mp} 190-192{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $\mathrm{mp} 168-169^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{24 \mathrm{a}}$ and $185-186^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{24 \mathrm{~b}}$ ). Physical data for 3: $R_{f}\left(30 \%\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) $0.52 ; R_{f}[10 \%$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ hexanes on $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ impregnated silica gel plate (made by dipping in $4 \% \mathrm{AgNO}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution)] $0.14 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}^{8 \mathrm{~b}, f}$ ( 300 MHz ) $3.44-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.23$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11,4.4$ ), 5.49 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7$ ), 5.90 (2 overlapping
$\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.43 (s, 1 H ), 6.47 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.6$ ), 6.64 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 8.0, 2.5), 6.72 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0$ ). Physical data for $15:^{14} R_{f}\left[10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /\right.$ hexanes on $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ impregnated silica gel plate (made by dipping in $4 \% \mathrm{AgNO}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution and then drying] 0.18; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz ) $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.52 (s, 2 H ), 5.99 (s, 2 H ), 6.53 (d, $J=2.2,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.56 (dd, $J=2.2,8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.73 ( s , 1 H ), 7.02 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.37 (d, $J=8,1 \mathrm{H}$ ); EIMS $m / z$ (relative intensity) 296 (100), 147 (12), 139 (13), 69 (12).
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#### Abstract

The synthesis, properties, and reactions of 3 -substituted 1,2 -benzisothiazole 1,1 -dioxide oxides 8 , highly stable examples of 3,3 -disubstituted $N$-sulfonyloxaziridines 3 , are described. These new $N$-sulfonyloxaziridines are prepared in high yield by oxidation of the corresponding sulfonimines 7. The bicyclic sulfonimines were prepared by treatment of saccharin (5) or preferably pseudosaccharin ethyl ether 6 with organolithium reagents. Kinetic studies of the oxidation of sulfoxides to sulfones and the epoxidation of limonene reveal that these new oxidizing reagents exhibit reduced reactivity, but greater selectivity, compared to oxaziridines of type 1 , in their oxygen-transfer reactions due to greater steric hindrance of the active-site oxygen. This is reflected in lower rates of oxidation and in improved cis/trans selectivity for the epoxidation of $(+)$-limonene.


The $N$-sulfonyloxaziridines 1 and 2 are an important class of highly selective, neutral aprotic oxidizing reagents that are finding increased utility in organic synthesis. ${ }^{2}$ Enantiomerically pure examples of these compounds are useful asymmetric oxidizing reagents affording high stereoselectivities for the asymmetric oxidation of sulfides (selenides) to sulfoxides (selenoxides) ( 66 to $\geq 95 \%$ ee), ${ }^{3}$ for the epoxidation of alkenes (up to $65 \%$ ee), ${ }^{4}$ and for the asymmetric oxidation of enolates to optically active $\alpha$ hydroxy carbonyl compounds ( $55-95 \%$ ee). ${ }^{5}$


1a, $Z=$ Alkyl, Aryl
b, $Z=(-)(S)-N-(\alpha-$ methylbenzyl)-
N -benzylamine

$(+)-2$
3

N-benzylamine
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For the past several years our studies have focused on the oxygen-transfer reactions of N -sulfonyloxaziridines 1a and $N$-sulfamyloxaziridines $1 \mathrm{~b} .{ }^{6}$ More recently studies have been concerned with the properties of (camphorylsulfonyl)oxaziridines 2 because they are conveniently prepared, enantiomerically pure, without the need for diastereomer separation as is required for oxaziridines of
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